# ECO220Y1Y: Course Overview, Sampling, Data, and Describing Categorical Data 

$\qquad$

## Lecture 1

Reading: Chapters 1-4
Includes ALL margin notes and boxes: "For Example," "Guided Example," "Notation Alert," "Just Checking," "Optional Math Boxes", "What Can Go Wrong?" and "Ethics in Action"

## Intro to Data Analysis \& Applied

 Econometrics: Some syllabus highlights- Quercus course site (slides up before class)
- Sections L0201, L0301, L0401
- What happens on Fridays from 9-11am?
- Usually, 65-minute interactive DACM TA tutorials
- Five 110-minute term tests
- Some 50-minute TA review tutorials (e.g. Friday, Sept 6)
- Use Piazza, not e-mail, for your questions
- Sign up: https://piazza.com/utoronto.ca/fall2019/eco220y1y
- iClicker: Register your remote via Quercus
- Quercus quizzes


The 2017 edition is most convenient for you.


## Required Supplements to Textbook

- Readings page on Quercus gives you easy access
- The DACM Handbook for ECO220Y1Y
- Accept your invitation to the DACM Quercus site
- Your first DACM tutorial is this Friday, Sept. $13^{\text {th }}$
- Read pages 1 to 20 of the handbook and take the necessary actions before arriving at your tutorial section
- Quiz and Prerequisite Review for ECO220Y1Y
- Study for Quercus Quiz 1 and Test \#1 (and beyond)
- Aid sheets (formulas and statistical tables)
- Logarithms in Regression Analysis with Asiaphoria
- The Normal Table: Read It, Use It


## U of T 2017 Alumni Impact Survey

- To kick off key material (today!), a real survey
- Background \& Methodology: "The total living U of T alumni population is about 545,000. The overall response rate was approximately $8 \%$ or just over 21,000 respondents."

| SURVEY RESPONDENTS COMPARED TO ALUMNI POPULATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEGMENT | \# COMPLETES | \% COMPLETES | \% OF ALUMNI <br> POPULATION |
| Male | 8,862 | 45.8 | 47.1 |
| Female | 10,472 | 54.1 | 52.8 |

Source: University of Toronto website, Alumni page, Alumni Impact Survey, retrieved from https://alumni.utoronto.ca/alumni-impact-survey on September 7, 2018.

## Key Terms

- $\frac{\text { Population: set of all }}{\text { items of interest }} \quad$ - $\frac{\text { Parameter: }}{\text { describing }}$ a population
- Sample: subset of the

| population |
| :--- |
| - Descriptive statistics: describe a sample (data) |
| - $\frac{\text { Inferential statistics: }}{\text { describing a sample }}$ |
| population and its parameters using data |

Probability versus Statistics


Probability asks: If I shake up the box (i.e. population) and
randomly select 3 balls, what is in my hand (i.e. sample)?


Descriptive statistics says: I have
2 white and 1 solid balls
Inferential statistics asks: Given what is in my hand (i.e. sample) what is in the box (i.e. population)?

| Parameters: Usually Greek Letters |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha$ alpha | $l$ iota | $\rho$ rho |
| $\beta$ beta | $\kappa$ kappa | $\sigma$ sigma |
| $\chi$ chi | $\lambda$ lamda | $\tau$ tao |
| $\delta$ delta | $\mu$ mu | $\theta$ theta |
| $\varepsilon$ epsilon | $v$ nu | $v$ upsilon |
| $\eta$ eta | $o$ omikron | $\omega$ omega |
| $\phi$ phi | $\pi$ pi | $\xi$ xi |
| $\gamma$ gamma | $\psi$ psi | $\zeta$ zeta |

http://platonicrealms.com/encyclopedia/Greek-alphabet

## Sampling Error

- Sampling Error: The purely random difference between a sample and the population that arises because the sample is a random subset of the population
- Does "error" mean that a mistake was made?
- Also called: "sampling noise," "white noise," "sampling variability" (textbook)
- As sample size gets larger the sampling error tends to get smaller
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## Do "Just Checking" as you read

## JUST CHECKING (p. 32)

1. Why is each of the following claims not correct?
a) It is always ...
b) Stopping customers ...
c) We drew a sample of 100 from the 3,000 students in a school. To get the same level of precision for a school of 30,000 students, we'll need a sample of 1,000 .
d) A poll taken ..
e) The true percentage of all people who enjoy statistics is called a "population statistic."

## Answers are found in Appendix A.

For c), see "The Sample Size is What Matters" on pp. 30-31. We do large population statistics in ECO220Y. When Gallup surveys people about global warming, it uses a sample size of around 2,000 each in China and Iceland.

## Sampling versus Non-Sampling Errors

- Non-sampling errors: Systematic (not random) differences between sample and population
- Biased estimate: Sample statistic is systematically higher or lower than the population parameter
- Systematic lying (e.g. overstate income); Poor survey design (e.g. misinterpreted question)
- Low response rate where non-responders differ from responders: non-response bias
- Sampling frame differs from target population

| Note: All real data have some | What is the point of the table |
| :--- | :--- |
| non-sampling errors. | for the $U$ of T alumni? |

ECO220Y: Overview


## Example from today's readings

- Divide the labor force into two groups by education:
- Those with a high school degree or less
- Those with more than a high school degree

If from 1968 to 2018 the unemployment rate for each group rose, is it possible the overall unemployment rate dropped?

|  | 1968 |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Ed. | \# UnE | \# in LF | Rate | \# UnE | \# in LF | Rate |
| HS or less | 2,000 | 50,000 | 0.04 | 1,500 | 30,000 | 0.05 |
| More than HS | 200 | 10,000 | 0.02 | 2,700 | 90,000 | 0.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See Section 4.5 "Simpson's Paradox," which also called composition effects.
According to Merriam-Webster, a paradox is "a statement that is seemingly contradictory or opposed to common sense and yet is perhaps true."

## Data: Variables \& Observations

| resp_id | seconds | age | male | highest_ed | hh_inc |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| R_06C1 | 118 | 34 | 0 | 4-year Degree (BA/BS) | $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ |
| R_06RY | 23 | 25 | 1 | 4-year Degree (BA/BS) | $\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999$ |
| R_06wD | 225 | 52 | 0 | Master's Degree (MA/MS) | $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ |
| R_08Ps | 111 | 36 | 1 | High School/GED | $\$ 100,000-\$ 149,999$ |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| R_zZmw | 26 | 21 | 1 | Some College | Under \$25,000 |

There are six variables: resp_id, is an identifier variable; seconds and age are quantitative variables; and male, highest_ed and hh_inc are qualitative (categorical/nominal) variables. (Further, male is a dummy variable.)
There are 1,603 observations in these data, one per respondent, which is the unit of observation, $n=1,603$. Only five observations are shown above. This in an excerpt of real data you will see in Module A. 1 of DACM.

## 2 Types of Information; 2 Types of Variables

- Quantitative info.: tells a numeric measure
- e.g. Elasticity of demand for green apples is -2.2
- Interval (quantitative) variables: contain numerical measures
- e.g. The debt-to-GDP ratio for each of 30 countries in 2014
- Qualitative info.: gives an assessment of kind
- e.g. If the price of green apples rises, $Q_{D}$ declines
- Nominal (categorical) variables: record which category
- e.g. Is each country in the OECD?
- Dummy variable: $=1$ if in a category, $=0$ otherwise
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## Three Types of Data Sets

- Cross-sectional: Same variable(s) in same time period measured for different units
- E.g. Annual GDP in 2010 for 20 different countries
- Unit of observation: a country
- Time series: Same variable(s) for same unit measured at different time periods
- E.g. Annual Canadian GDP in 2000,...,2010
- Unit of observation: a year
- Panel (Longitudinal): Same variable(s) measured for a range of units \& time periods
- E.g. Annual GDP for 20 different countries in 2000,...,2010
- Unit of observation: a country-year pair

Also, see DACM Handbook, including page 15.

## Panel Data in "Wide" Format

| countryname | code | oecd | pctdbt95 | pctdbt00 | pctdbt05 | pctdbt10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | ALB | 0 | 35.29 | . | . | . |
| Australia | AUS | 1 | . | 29.55 | 22.58 | 29.32 |
| Austria | AUT | 1 | 61.80 | 65.59 | 67.50 | 74.04 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | CAN | 1 | 79.37 | 60.07 | 47.15 | 52.68 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy | ITA | 1 | 121.12 | 118.67 | 112.80 | 117.59 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom | GBR | 1 | 50.92 | 45.45 | 46.14 | 86.65 |
| United States | USA | 1 | . | . | 47.34 | 76.84 |
| Uruguay | URY | 0 | . | . | 76.11 | 45.20 |
| Zimbabwe | ZWE | 0 | 77.09 | . | . | . |
| http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GC.DOD.TOTL.GD.ZS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example of Cross-Sectional Data

| countryname | code | oecd | pctdbt10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | ALB | 0 | . |
| Australia | AUS | 1 | 29.32 |
| Austria | AUT | 1 | 74.04 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| Canada | CAN | 1 | 52.68 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| Italy | ITA | 1 | 117.59 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom | GBR | 1 | 86.65 |
| United States | USA | 1 | 76.84 |
| Uruguay | URY | 0 | 45.20 |
| Zimbabwe | ZWE | 0 | . |

Example of Time-Series Data

| countryname | code | oecd | year | pctdbt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy | ITA | 1 | 1995 | 121.12 |
| Italy | ITA | 1 | 2000 | 118.67 |
| Italy | ITA | 1 | 2005 | 112.80 |
| Italy | ITA | 1 | 2010 | 117.59 |

## Panel Data in "Long" Format

| countryname | code | oecd | year | pctdbt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | ALB | 0 | 1995 | 35.29 |
| Albania | ALB | 0 | 2000 | . |
| Albania | ALB | 0 | 2005 | . |
| Albania | ALB | 0 | 2010 | . |
| Australia | AUS | 1 | 1995 | . |
| Australia | AUS | 1 | 2000 | 29.55 |
| Australia | AUS | 1 | 2005 | 22.58 |
| Australia | AUS | 1 | 2010 | 29.32 |
| .. |  |  |  |  |
| Zimbabwe | ZWE | 0 | 1995 | 77.09 |
| Zimbabwe | ZWE | 0 | 2000 | . |
| Zimbabwe | ZWE | 0 | 2005 | . |
| Zimbabwe | ZWE | 0 | 2010 | . |

## More Examples

Consider data on 257 people who tasted a new snack product at Loblaws. Each was asked: how likely is it that you will purchase this product in the future? (definitely, probably, not sure, probably not, definitely not). Which kind of data are these?

Consider data on ECO220Y1Y recording the price of the textbook each year for the past decade and the percent of students that had a copy. Which kind of data are these?
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Describing one variable (with not too many unique values)

- Tabulation: Lists all unique values in data \& relative frequency
- Interval or nominal data
- Basis of bar/pie chart
- aka: frequency table, relative frequency table



NSSE 2006, U of T seniors: If you could start again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?
$1=$ definitely yes
2= probably yes
$3=$ probably no
4= definitely no
http://www.utoronto.ca/about-uoft/measuring-our-performance.htm

## Describing Two Variables

(with not too many unique pairs)

- Cross tabulation: Measures frequency that two variables take each possible pair of values
- Shows relationship between two variables
- Interval or nominal data
- Basis of bar/pie chart
- aka: contingency table or two-way table
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- Goal: compare ads
- "Power": Emphasizes horsepower
- "Safety": Emphasizes safety features
- Participants watch TV alone for 2 hours
- Insert one ad at random with other ads
- Give "quiz" about car

| id | sex | ad | recall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Female | Safety | Yes |
| 2 | Female | Power | No |
| 3 | Male | Safety | No |
| 4 | Female | Safety | No |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 251 | Female | Power | No |
| 252 | Female | Safety | Yes |
| 253 | Male | Safety | No |
| 254 | Male | Safety | No |

25

Summary: Experimental Design

| ad I | Freq. | Percent | Cum. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power I | 129 | 50.79 | 50.79 |
| Safety I | 125 | 49.21 | 100.00 |
| Total I | 254 | 100.00 |  |
| sex 1 | Freq. | Percent | Cum. |
| Female \| | 131 | 51.57 | 51.57 |
| Male \| | 123 | 48.43 | 100.00 |
| Total I | 254 | 100.00 |  |

26

Summary: Results (Incomplete)


## Two Cross-tabs

| $\begin{array}{r} ->\text { ad }=\text { Power } \\ \text { recall \| } \end{array}$ | Female | Male \| | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No I | 53 | 331 | 86 |  |
| Yes 1 | 17 | 26 । | 43 | How to |
| Total \| | 70 | 59 \| | 129 | read these |
| -> ad = Safety |  |  |  | tables? |
| recall \| | Female | Male \| | Total |  |
| No 1 | 25 | 54 । | 79 |  |
| Yes 1 | 36 | 10 I | 46 |  |
| Total \| | 61 | 64 \| | 125 |  |



See conditional distributions in textbook (p. 64)

## Academic Article: Working Paper

[^0]"Learning Millennial-Style" NBER, 2014


Video: https://player.vimeo.com/video/70597491
31

Before we see the Carlin et. al (2014) results ... Some Important Reminders

- Read our course syllabus
- DACM Module A. 1 this Friday morning
- Read pages 1-20 of the DACM Handbook before
- Catch-up: read Chap. 1-4 (today's readings)
- Visit our course site and complete HW 1 and readings for Lecture 2 (Sections 5.1-5.6)
- First Quercus quiz due by Mon, Sept 16, 6pm
- Bring your iClicker remote to Lecture 2


The total sample size (number of observations) is $n=401$. In Module A. 1 of DACM, you will work with the 2017 published version of this paper and see a similar figure but for a fresh sample of $n=1,603$.
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[^0]:    Abstract: The growing use of on-line educational content and related video services has changed the way people access education, share knowledge, and possibly make life decisions. In this paper, we characterize how video content affects individual decision-making and willingness to share in the context of a personal financial decision. Content geared toward giving better instructions leads to better financial decisions, but less information sharing. Misleading advertising not only causes worse decisions, but makes it less likely that videos with useful content get shared in the market. This implies that the effects of deception have externalities on other peoples' literacy and decision-making. Our work has important implications for policies guiding financial literacy training, and also has broader impact for education in the information age. http://www.nber.org/papers/w20268.pdf [Carlin, Li, and Spiller (2014)]

