Multiple Regression: Model and Interpretation

Lecture 20

Reading: Sections 20.1 – 20.3

Magical Regression – Oops I Mean – Multiple Regression

- Are the salaries of female professors in ON unfairly below males?
 - y-variable? x-variable?
 - Even if lower salaries, maybe females are less experienced, in lowerpaid disciplines, less productive, etc.
 - Multiple x-variables: sex, experience, discipline, ...
- Multiple regression allows us to control for experience, discipline, productivity, to isolate the effect (if any) of sex

1

2

3

 With observational data, we may be able to tackle lurking/unobserved/ omitted/confounding variables by controlling for them

Multiple Regression: Today and Rest of ECO220Y

- Much translates from simple to multiple regression
 - E.g. t test, CI est. of coef.
- But, two *big exceptions*:
 - Interpreting coefficients (today)
 - Testing overall statistical significance (next week, F test)
- Final few weeks: building realistic multiple regression models
 - Dummy variables for categorical information (e.g. sex, program of study, discipline of research)
 - Also, wrt panel data
 - Interaction terms

Multiple Regression Model

- $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + \varepsilon_i$ - How many explanatory (*x*) variables?
 - What is the interpretation of the error (ε_i) ?
- OLS estimate solves $\min_{b_0,\dots,b_k} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \hat{y_i})^2$:

 $\hat{y}_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1i} + b_2 x_{2i} + \dots + b_k x_{ki}$

No simple formula for coefficients: need software

- Residuals
$$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$$
 and $s_e = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-k-1}}$

Recall Six Assumptions

- Linearity: each x linearly related to y (x variables and/or y variable can be non-linearly transformed)
- 2) Errors independent (common problem: autocorrelation in time series data)
- 3) Homoscedasticity (single variance) of errors
- 4) Normally distributed errors
- 5) Constant included (error has mean 0)
- 6) Each x and error unrelated; i.e. no lurking variables

HT and CI Estimation for Slopes

- $H_0: \beta_j = \beta_j^0$
- $H_1: \beta_j \neq \beta_j^0 \text{ (or > or <)}$

 $- \text{ Use } t = \frac{b_j - \beta_j^0}{s_{b_j}}$ with v = n - k - 1

• Statistical significance: $H_0: \beta_j = 0$ $H_1: \beta_j \neq 0$

For $H_0: \beta_2 = 1$ versus $H_1: \beta_2 > 1$ v = 54 and $t = \frac{2.124 - 1}{0.357} = 3.15$ Conclusion?

 Standard error of slope coef., s_{bj} or SE[b_j], obtain from software like slope coef. itself

4

5

• Cl estimate of β_i :

 $b_j \pm t_{\alpha/2} s_{b_j}$ with v = n - k - 1

Continuing, for 95% Cl get 2.124 ± 2.005 * 0.357 and LCL = 1.41 and UCL = 2.84 Conclusion? 6

How to Interpret Coefficients?

- Q: If Assumptions 1 5 hold, the coefficient is statistically significant, and the model overall is statistically significant (Lecture 21), how to interpret multiple regression coefficient b_i?
- A: b_j measures the average change in y associated with a change in x_j holding the other included x variables fixed (i.e. after controlling for the other included x variables)

As usual, interpretations also require: being context-specific, specifying units of measurement & being clear about causality 7

	Coeff	SE(Coeff)	t-ratio	P-value
Intercept	57.272	10.399	5.51	<0.0001
Height	-0.502	0.059	-8.06	<0.0001
Weight	0.558	0.033	17.11	<0.0001
Age	0.137	0.028	4.90	<0.0001
N	250			
R ²	0.584			

Predicting Males' Percent Body Fat

Source: Our textbook, Just Checking, p. 695

STATA Output: Percent Body Fat

8

9

. regress pct_body_fat height_cm weight_kg age if (case~=39 & case~=42);

Source	I.	SS	df		MS		Number	of obs	=	250
	+-						F(3,	246)	=	115.13
Model	I.	10003.7809	3	333	4.59362		Prob >	F	=	0.0000
Residual	I.	7125.03917	246	28.	9635738		R-squar	ed	=	0.5840
	+-						Adj R-s	quared	=	0.5790
Total	I.	17128.82	249	68.	7904419		Root MS	E	=	5.3818
pct_body_fat	1	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	 95%]	Conf.	In	terval]
pct_body_fat	 +-	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	 [95%	Conf.	In	terval]
pct_body_fat height cm	 +-	Coef.	Std.	Err. 2096	t -8.06	P> t 0.000	[95% 624	Conf. 1671	In:	terval]
pct_body_fat height_cm weight kg	 +- 	Coef. 5016358 .559226	Std. .0622	Err. 2096 5851	t -8.06 17.11	P> t 0.000 0.000	 [95% 624 .494	Conf. 1671 8477	In:	terval] 3791045 6236043
pct_body_fat height_cm weight_kg age	 .+- 	Coef. 5016358 .559226 .1373248	Std. .0622 .0320 .0280	Err. 2096 5851 0566	t -8.06 17.11 4.89	P> t 0.000 0.000 0.000	 [95% 624 .494 .08	Conf. 1671 8477 2063	In:	terval] 3791045 6236043 1925866
pct_body_fat height_cm weight_kg age cons	 .+- 	Coef. 5016358 .559226 .1373248 57.27217	Std. .0622 .0320 .0280 10.33	Err. 2096 5851 0566 9897	t -8.06 17.11 4.89 5.51	P> t 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	[95% 624 .494 .08 36.	Conf. 1671 8477 2063 7898	In : .: .: 7	terval] 3791045 6236043 1925866 7.75454

http://www.amstat.org/publications/jse/v4n1/datasets.johnson.html

ECO220Y1Y, Lecture 20, Page 3 of 8

Standard Deviation of Residuals

• Assumed $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$: ε_i unknowable but we can compute e_i and its standard deviation



Roughly, what is s_e
based on the graph?



10

No scale? Measure waist

. regress pct_body_fat height_cm abdomen_cm age if (case~=39 & case~=42);

Source	L	SS	df		MS		Number of obs	=	250
Model Residual	+-	12248.3786 4880.44142	3 246	408 19 .	2.79287 8391928		F(3, 246) Prob > F R-squared	=	205.79 0.0000 0.7151
Total	I	17128.82	249	68.	7904419		Root MSE	=	4.4541
pct_body_fat	 	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
height_cm abdomen_cm age _cons	 	2192569 .6867277 .0305884 -6.564603	.0454 .029 .024 8.149	4017 5381 1531 9381	-4.83 23.25 1.27 -0.81	0.000 0.000 0.207 0.421	3086826 .6285478 0169847 -22.61606	 9	1298313 7449076 0781616 .486858

Note: Do <u>NOT</u> drop variables from your model simply because they are not statistically significant.

11

Gain Weight to Reduce Body Fat?

What does the negative (and statistically significant) coefficient on weight_kg mean?

. regress pct_body_fat height_cm abdomen_cm age weight_kg if (case~=39 & case~=42);

Source	1	SS	df		MS		Number of obs	=	250
	+-						F(4, 245)	=	161.49
Model	1	12418.7119	4	310	4.67799		Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	1	4710.10808	245	19.	2249309		R-squared	=	0.7250
	+-						Adj R-squared	=	0.7205
Total	I.	17128.82	249	68.	7904419		Root MSE	=	4.3846
<pre>pct_body_fat</pre>	I	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
	+-								
height_cm	1	0884853	.0626	5709	-1.41	0.159	2119279	•	0349572
abdomen_cm	1	.9133218	.0814	1899	11.21	0.000	.7528116	1	.073832
age	1	0003596	.0259	9501	-0.01	0.989	0514734		0507542
weight kg	1	2221385	.0746	5288	-2.98	0.003	3691343		0751426
_cons	1	-31.49531	11.59	9772	-2.72	0.007	-54.33927	-8	.651341

Guided Example, pp. 699 – 703

- Use multiple regression to predict house prices (\$'s) with living area (sq. ft.), number of bedrooms, number of bathrooms, age of house (years), and the number of fireplaces
 - Check underlying conditions: see textbook
 - What is goal: describe data, forecasting, model?
 - Which kind of data are these?
 - Will Assumption #6 be violated? If yes, give a concrete example of a lurking variable?

13

Stata Output: Housing Prices

. regress price livingarea bedrooms bathrooms fireplaces age;

Source	Ţ	SS	df		MS		Number of obs	=	1057
Model Residual		3.8028e+12 2.4840e+12	5 1051	7.6 2.3	5055e+11 8635e+09		Prob > F R-squared	=	0.0000
Total	1	6.2868e+12	1056	5.9	9534e+09		Root MSE	=	48616
price	1	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	₽> t	[95% Conf.	Int	cerval]
livingarea bedrooms bathrooms fireplaces age cons		73.4464 -6361.311 19236.68 9162.791 -142.7395 15712.7	4.008 2749. 3669 3194. 48.27 7311.	868 503 .08 233 612 427	18.32 -2.31 5.24 2.87 -2.96 2.15	0.000 0.021 0.000 0.004 0.003 0.032	65.5801 -11756.45 12037.12 2894.991 -237.468 1366.047	-96 26 15 -48 30	31.3127 56.1715 5436.23 5430.59 3.01094 0059.36

Interpretations?

14

Without livingarea

. regress price bedrooms bathrooms fireplaces age;

Source	 +-	SS	df		MS		Number of obs $F(4, 1052)$	=	1057
Model Residual	i I	3.0094e+12 3.2774e+12	4 1052	7.52 3.11	235e+11 5 4e+09		Prob > F R-squared	=	0.0000
Total	1	6.2868e+12	1056	5.95	534e+09		Root MSE	=	55816
price	1	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
bedrooms bathrooms fireplaces age cons	 	18080.69 53635.29 27142.71 -124.8008 -6557.804	2760. 3619. 3489. 55.41 8277.	189 122 901 405 368	6.55 14.82 7.78 -2.25 -0.79	0.000 0.000 0.025 0.428	12664.58 46533.77 20294.75 -233.5355 -22799.83	2 6 3 -1 9	3496.79 0736.81 3990.67 6.06615 684.227

Where did *livingarea* go?

Why did the s_e increase?

Bedrooms associated with ε , violating Assumption 6?

15

Recall: Experimental Drug Data

regress hrs_sleep dosage;

Source	l ss	df	MS		Number of obs	= 25
Model Residual	12.6255781 20.9040126	1 23	12.6255781 .908870111		F(1, 23) Prob > F R-squared Adi R-squared	= 13.89 = 0.0011 = 0.3766 = 0.3494
Total	33.5295906	24	1.39706628		Root MSE	= .95335
hrs_sleep	Coef.	Std.	Err. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
dosage _cons	.4816382 3.439461	.1292 .6260	249 3.73 549 5.49	3 0.001 9 0.000	.2143161 2.144368	.7489602 4.734555

16

Interpretation w/ Experimental Data

regress hrs_sleep dosage age weight;

Source	I SS	df	MS		Number of obs	=	25
Model Residual	17.528649 16.0009417	3 5.84 21 .761	1288299 1 949603		Prob > F R-squared	= 0.00 = 0.52	012 228
Total	33.5295906	24 1.39	9706628		Root MSE	= .8'	729
hrs_sleep	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interva	al]
dosage age weight cons	. 5094999 0213827 0342918	.1208007 .0131737 .0164732	4.22 -1.62 -2.08	0.000 0.119 0.050	.2582811 0487789 0685497 3.826078	.7607: .0060: 0000:	L87 L34 338

Unlike wild swings in housing regression w/ & w/o living area, dosage coefficient is stable. Age and weight were *not* lurking/ unobserved/confounding/omitted variables: dosage coef. is NOT biased regardless of whether you control for age and weight. ¹⁷

Returns to Consumer Search: Evidence from eBay

- Research question: How much does spending time searching affect the final price that a consumer pays for a good?
 - "We assemble a dataset of search and purchase behavior from eBay to quantify the returns to consumer search on the internet." (from Abstract)
 - Will data be observational or experimental?
 - What is the x variable? y variable?
 - Do you expect a positive or negative relationship?

[&]quot;Returns to Consumer Search: Evidence from eBay" *NBER Working* Paper, June 2016 <u>http://www.nber.org/papers/w22302.pdf</u> 18

EXCERPT, p. 16: We identified all purchasers on an arbitrary date, July 27th, 2014. We then limited the sample to purchases of common and well defined goods ... This allowed us to construct a distribution of prices for each of the goods in our sample. Next we identified all search behavior of the buyer in the 6 weeks prior to the purchase. A challenge is to identify searches related to the product purchased, knowing that the queries over time may have changed due to refinements of all sorts. To do this, we first counted the number of searches that returned items which are identified as being the exact same product that was eventually purchased. We then identified the length of search as the time between the first search and purchase as another measure of search intensity. Finally, we counted the number of distinct days on which the user searched for the product.

So how many different variables measure the key x-variable (how much a consumer searched)?

EXCERPT, p. 17: Using the data we collected we explore the relationship between measures of prices paid and of search intensity, which are displayed in Figure 5. It shows the mean price paid for the different levels of the indicated search intensity (days searching, days since first search, and the number of searches).



EXCERPT, p. 17: Using the data we collected we explore the relationship between measures of prices paid and of search intensity, which are displayed in Figure 5. It shows the mean price paid for the different levels of the indicated search intensity (days searching, days since first search, and the number of searches).



What is the point of this second graph? What is different from first? **EXCERPT, p. 17, cont'd:** There is generally a positive relationship between price and search, which at first glance may be surprising. However, this does not control for the product purchased. Users presumably spend more time searching for costlier purchases because they expect to get a larger absolute value of savings from additional searches. Hence, this should not be interpreted as a causal relationship but rather one driven by selection.



Control for Costly Purchases

- Multiple regression can control for costly purc. to help w/ endogenity of search intensity (its coefficient suffers *severe* endogeneity bias)
 - Remove variables from ε that are correlated w/ search (violating Assump. #6) by adding them as control variables (additional RHS variables)
 - "We computed the *expected product price* by taking the mean of all of the purchases of a given product in the 6 weeks prior. We treat this as the expected price one would pay for a product without search" (p. 17)

Unit of observation?	Table 2: C	Quantifying	g Returns i	to Search					
Cross-sectional, time	y-variab	le: Price Pa	aid (US\$)	y-variable: Ln(Price Paid)					
series or panel data?	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Searches Returning Product ID	-0.264 (0.031)	-0.088 (0.034)	0.059 (0.054)	-0.0033 (0.0003)	-0.0012 (0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0006)			
Days Since First Search		-0.317 (0.027)	-0.272 (0.030)		-0.0040 (0.0003)	-0.0035 (0.0003)			
Days Searching			-0.759 (0.217)			-0.0082 (0.0023)			
Product Expected Price	0.884 (0.002)	0.886 (0.002)	0.886 (0.002)	"Each ad searchin	ditional da g yields a (ay spent 0.8% or			
Ln(Product Expected Price)	"Each add associate reductior	ditional se d with a 2 n in the pri	arch is 6 cent ce." p. 18	1.015 (0.003)	1.020 (0.003)	1.020 (0.003)			
Constant	0.492 (0.469)	2.040 (0.484)	2.447 (0.498)	-0.260 (0.011)	-0.258 (0.011)	-0.254 (0.011)			
Observations	14,331	14,331	14,331	14,331	14,331	14,331			
Notes: Reports six se	eparate re	gressions.	Standard e	errors in pa	arentheses	. 24			

23